

NC PHIP Kick-off Notes 8-6-15

Disparities feedback from attendees that are seen as important:

- Transportation
- Caregiver access
- Employment
- Sustainability of medical emergency services
- Disability/mental health access to care
- Internet/high-speed access

Discussion:

1. Most agree that data across organizations/partners is siloed and that improved planning on a regional level would require a means for sharing data.
2. Human service organizations from different sectors that serve members of the community all seem to have data collection/reporting/assessment requirements. It would be helpful if the PHIP could help consolidate/standardize/share the information collected by all these disparate entities.
3. We need to develop a data clearinghouse like the Adirondack GIS Clearinghouse.
4. This initiative looks like it will be built with vertical integration – from the bottom up – which is great, but there also needs to be a top-down component to make all this work; there needs to be a top down/bottom up interface from the policy/advocacy state level.
5. It was suggested that a “regional assessment” which melded sector data would be a very useful report.
 - a. It was agreed that a valuable community assessment is done across the board together, instead of being focused on one specific task at a time.
 - b. A discussion took place regarding when certain organizations are required to conduct an assessment.
 - i. Public Health: Every three years
 - ii. Disabilities/Mental Health: Every year
 - iii. Council for Prevention: Every fall
 - iv. SUNY ADK: Academic performance evaluated only
6. Greater attention to level of health literacy was identified as an important issue around quality of data gathered.
 - a. Tailoring questions to the education level of the population being surveyed.
7. AHI needs to pull all of us together to make our advocacy efforts stronger.
8. We often don't focus on the programs/services we do well, instead focusing on what we don't do well. We should marry our services by looking at why the successful programs/services work and how we can take best practices from successful initiatives and share/transfer/implement them to improve the not-as-successful programs/services.

Missing Partners (i.e. not present at kick-off):

1. Department of Social Services
2. Housing Authorities
3. Transportation Services

Attendees were encouraged to reach out to peers from other sectors to attend the next meeting.

Communication Plan for PHIP:

1. It was suggested that a communication plan for PHIP be developed and shared with partners.
2. Numerous people were very interested in the development of AHI's new website news, events, and communications features.
3. Joan Tarantino, from The Conkling Center, shared that there are future meetings to occur between Kate Hogan, DA, and local sheriffs to determine and assign a point officer for seniors as part of a new program in the area.

Questions:

1. Jeanne Noordsy, Domestic Violence Project, Catholic Charities, asked for clarification on how her organization plays a role and what she can do next. Ms. Noordsy was encouraged to be the communicator between the members of the councils she convenes for domestic violence and the rest of the PHIP partner network. It is important for us to share all of our work.

